



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,872	02/22/2002	Loren J. Veltrop	00-176-D	2509
7590	11/04/2003			EXAMINER BECKER, DREW E
Anthoula Pomrening McDonnell Boehnen Hulbert & Berghoff 32nd Floor 300 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT 1761	PAPER NUMBER
DATE MAILED: 11/04/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/080,872	VELTROP, LOREN J.
	Examiner Drew E Becker	Art Unit 1761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 February 2002.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 70-84 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 70-84 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All
  - b) Some \*
  - c) None of:
    1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
    3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
  - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                    | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ . |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)           | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                     |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Priority*

1. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). In particular, the status of 09/515,166 should be included, ie "now Pat. No. 6,412,403".

### *Claim Objections*

2. Claim 78 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 3 recites "the of", "step" should be inserted between "the" and "of". Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 70-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shei et al [Pat. No. 6,262,394].

Shei et al teach a method of warming cooked food (abstract) by placing it in a tray with a lip (Figure 1, B), inserting the tray into a receiving space of a freestanding cover with a flat flange section and a lower support section (Figure 1, #80), a warming apparatus with heated compartments (Figure 1, #4 & 48), the flange blocking the tray opening by being flush with the lip (Figure 3, left side), front and rear openings for inserting and removing the trays and covers (Figure 1-2, #36; column 6, line 42 to column 7, line 41), and a shelf which supports the cover (Figure 3, #70).

5. Claims 70-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oda et al [Pat. No. 5,028,761].

Oda et al teach a method of warming cooked food (abstract) by placing it in a tray with a lip (Figure 5, #12), inserting the tray into a receiving space of a freestanding cover with a flat flange section and a lower support section (Figure 5, #13-15), a warming apparatus with heated compartments (Figure 1, #1), the flange blocking the tray opening by being flush with the lip (Figure 5, #14), front and rear openings for inserting and removing the trays and covers (Figure 1, #2), and a shelf which supports the cover (Figure 6, #91-92).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 79 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oda et al in view of Robards et al [pat. No. 5,900,173]

Oda et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Oda et al do not teach the cover contacting the compartment upper surface. Robards et al teach a method of warming cooked foods by use of tray which is pressed against the upper surface of the compartment (Figure 3, #48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the upper surface contact of Robards et al into the invention of Oda et al since both are directed to methods of warming cooked foods, since Oda et al already included a cover which sealed off tray opening (Figure 5, #12-13), and since the upper surface contact of Robards et al would have prevented the cover of Oda et al from lifting up due to pressure and thus releasing moisture from the tray.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

8. Claims 80-84 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: dependent claim 80 defines over the prior art of record since the prior art does not teach, suggest, nor render obvious the cover having a front retainer which engages the shelf.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Veltrop [Pat. No. 6,412,403], Wyatt et al [Pat. No. 5,189,281], and Ewald et al [Pat. No. 5,947,012] teach methods of warming cooked foods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

  
Drew E Becker  
Examiner  
Art Unit 1761

10-27-03